



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

**WALKER DIGITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC  
2 HIGH RIDGE PARK  
STAMFORD, CT 06905**

**COPY MAILED  
AUG 29 2008**

In re Application of :  
Walker et al. :  
Application No. 10/642,894 : **ON PETITION**  
Filed: August 18, 2003 :  
Attorney Docket No. 99-029-C1 :

This is a decision on the petition under the unintentional provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 29, 2008, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

This application became abandoned as a result of petitioner's failure to take appropriate action in a timely manner after the decision of February 15, 2008 by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences. Therefore, the proceedings as to the rejected claims were terminated. *See* 37 CFR 1.197(b). As no claim was allowed, the application became abandoned on April 16, 2008. *See* MPEP 1214.06.


There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney or authorization of agent to prosecute the above identified application. However, in accordance with 37 CFR 1.34(a), the signature of Michael Downs appearing on the petition shall constitute a representation to the United States Patent and Trademark Office that he is authorized to represent the particular party on whose behalf he acts.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the reply in the form of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b), (2) the petition fee of \$1540, and (3) an adequate statement of unintentional delay<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3) requires a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. While the statement is made by an attorney of record, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. *See* 37 CFR 10.18(b) and *Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure*; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 *Off. Gaz. Pat. Office* 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

This application is being revived solely for purposes of continuity. As continuity has been established by this decision, the application is again abandoned in favor of continuing application number. 12/111,716.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Alicia Kelley at (571) 272-6059



Sherry D. Brinkley  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions